

Dr. John M. Newman and what Jane Roman said on Nov. 3, 1994

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-FhySAjiAAc> (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-FhySAjiAAc>)

Uploaded by [Assassination Archives and Research Center](https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCTkqmYSbj62SYnZbivuZrXw)
(<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCTkqmYSbj62SYnZbivuZrXw>) on Sun Dec 03 2017.

James Madison University, 2 NOV , '17

Dr. John M. Newman responds to questions about a November 3, 1994 interview involving himself, Jefferson Morley and a former CIA Liaison officer named Jane Roman who acknowledged that irregularities regarding pre-assassination handling of Oswald related inquiries suggests that some elements within the Agency regarded Oswald as a figure of operational interest 6 weeks prior to President Kennedy's assassination.

"Yes. To me it indicates a keen interest held very closely on a need to know basis."

<https://jfkjmn.com/>

<http://aarclibrary.org/>

[00:00:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-FhySAjiAAc\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-FhySAjiAAc)

there was a key moment in your interview in the company of a Washington Post reporter director support Lane November 3rd 1994 you were seeing in the home of Jane woman who 31 years earlier had signed off on information which she knew was false and misleading in response to a routine inquiry for the Mexico City station about what we have on this guy Oswald it was the first week of October 1963 it was six weeks prior to the assassination Jane home and his way of softness officer who doesn't make protocol and it was not deciding to do something on her own but she's a reliable professional in a very very important position 31 years later you took her chronologically from the middle of 1962 until literally a few days prior to that request from the Mexico City station and you showed her all of the documentation with her signature on it indicating that she was surprised she was aware of things that certain other people inside the CIA were not aware of would you mind telling us what she said first when you asked her what's your take on this and second after us ask her does this indicate to you an operational interest in Oswald six weeks prior to this as like he said I showed him the documents first then I showed her the routing slips with her initials on and then I asked her about what that and at first you saw the last document besides her mouth crow felt towards her ears she got it it already had her mom right way to take court doing that they might adjust so she said to me I'm signing off on something that's not true and that was a little breakthrough right there and I said why which is signing off on something this mushroom he said

[00:02:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-FhySAjiAAc&t=120s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-FhySAjiAAc&t=120s)

off on something this mushroom he said look I wasn't involved really I wasn't involved in the hanky-panky if it's particularly keen operational interest Lee Harvey Oswald that's not supposed to happen either right there most would be interested at all in the SAS the human affairs death so that was a mother's break through the moments it was not that long after JFK Records Act and it was again there was this feeling like okay it's okay to talk now right so there was no reason why not to just be engaged and she did and I put her in a position by asking the right statements where she did support development so if I made what she said in response to your direct question which was recorded and transcribed by The Washington Post transcription service you

said if I recall correctly it's possible they don't but I believe you said I guess what I'm asking you to address head-on here is does this indicate to an operational interest in Oswald six weeks prior to the census in her response without missing a beat was yes Jeanine indicates a keen interest tell very closely to the Oasis tell me tell us what happened with your Jeff walked out of the house down the football field

END